## **ENERGY CONSERVATION**

Proceedings of the Soviet-American Symposium Moscow, June 1985

Edited by

R. H. SOCOLOW and M. ROSS





#### Copyright © 1987 Pergamon Journals Limited

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by an means: electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the publishers.

First edition 1987



Published as Volume 12, Number 10/11 of Energy and supplied to subscribers as part of their 1987 subscription. Also available to non-subscribers.

Printed in Great Britain by A. Wheaton & Co. Ltd, Exeter

### **ENERGY CONSERVATION**

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE SOVIET-AMERICAN SYMPOSIUM MOSCOW, JUNE 1985

## **CONTENTS**

*		
Preface Robert H. Socolow an	d Marc Ross	v
	GENERAL CONTEXT OF ENERGY CONSERVATION	
A. Overview	· ·	
Energy conservation	in the global context and Robert H. Williams	907
The economical use of energy resources M. A. Styrikovich		921
A low energy future for the United States Robert H. Williams		
Basic principles of energy policy in the U.S.S.R. A. A. Makarov, A. A. Beschinsky and A. G. Vigdorchik		
Strategies for conservation of fuel and energy resources in the Leningrad Region I. A. Glebov and V. P. Kovalenko		
	naterials flows in the economy arson and Robert H. Williams	953
Energy development and its effect on the environment Yu A. Izrael		
Global environmental efficiency of energy us John P. Holdren	l issues related to energy supply: the environmental case for increased se	l 975
Harold Feiveson	ut reprocessing: a future made possible by energy conservation	993
Reactor systems aspect the U.S.S.R. E. P. Velikhov and A.	cts of the future development of thermonuclear energy in	1003
E. I. Tunnot and ix.	7.1 (euospaso)	
I	I. ENERGY CONSERVATION IN BUILDINGS	
Energy consumption in the residential and public services sector M. V. Tarnizhevsky		
Energy conservation and improvement of reliability in centralized heating systems V. Varvarsky, Ya. Kovyliansky and S. Chistovich		
Energy-efficient U.S. Arthur Rosenfeld	buildings and equipment: progress toward least lifecycle cost	1017
Field studies of energ at Princeton Universi Robert H. Socolow	y savings in buildings: a tour of a 15-year research program ty	1029
III.	ENERGY CONSERVATION IN TRANSPORTATION	
	. Stavrov and M. L. Zamyatin	1047
Rating of hazardous of improving the envi O. A. Stavrov and M.	substance emissions by vehicular transport as a means ronment of large cities  A. Boyeva	1057
Automobile fuel econ Frank von Hippel		1063
	ne technology in future transportation	1073

### IV. ENERGY CONSERVATION IN INDUSTRY

A. Systems	
Integrated energy generation and industrial processing as a method of energy	
conservation E. P. Velikhov, V. M. Maslennikov, A. E. Sheindlin, E. E. Shpilrain and	
V. Ya Shterenberg	
Direct-contact heat exchange, shale production, and slag processing as examples of new efficient technologies for power generation	1097
R. B. Akhmedov, Z. L. Miropolsky, E. V. Samuilov and G. P. Stelmakh	10,7
Energy conservation in the transmission and distribution of electricity in the Soviet Union	
I. G. Karapetian, A. L. Kudoyarov, U. S. Zhelezko and V. E. Vorotnitski	1107
Electricity and industrial productivity: a technical and economic perspective  Philip S. Schmidt	
Advanced separation techniques for petrochemicals Thomas W. Mix	112
B. Steel	
Industrial energy conservation and the steel industry of the United States  Marc Ross	1133
Energy considerations of classical and new iron- and steel-making technology  Syen Eketorp	1153
Technological progress and energy conservation in the iron and steel industry of the U.S.S.R.	
N. P. Lyakishev and N. I. Perlov	1169
Technological approaches to energy saving in blast-furnace operations in the iron and steel industry of the U.S.S.R.	•
N, I, Perlov	117
Energy conservation and productivity improvements in steel plants  Elias P. Gyftonoulos and Wayne J. DiBartola	1183

#### **PREFACE**

The symposium at which the papers in this volume were presented was the first major event in what, it is hoped, will be a long-term Soviet/American collaboration on energy-conservation research. The week-long meeting of technical specialists in energy conservation took place in Moscow in June 1985.

One of the first steps leading to this symposium was a visit by Academician Evgenie P. Velikhov, Senior Vice President of the Soviet Academy of Sciences, to the Center for Energy and Environmental Studies at Princeton University in December 1983. This was followed by an informal meeting in Moscow in September 1984, organized by Dr Viktor M. Maslennikov, head of the Power-Technology Complexes Laboratory, Department of New Energy Sources, at the Institute for High Temperatures (IVTAN) in Moscow. IVTAN is a major national laboratory of the Soviet Academy of Sciences, comparable in size and accomplishments to a major national laboratory in the United States. During that meeting, Academician A. E. Scheindlin, Director of IVTAN, proposed that IVTAN host a symposium on energy-conservation research in Moscow in June 1985.

In the winter of 1985 the symposium program was organized, largely at a meeting in Princeton involving Professor Evald E. Shpilrain, of IVTAN and the Moscow Power Engineering Institute, Dr Maslennikov, Dr Robert H. Williams of Princeton, and both of us. At that time, Professor Sol Penner, editor of Energy—The International Journal, generously offered to publish the proceedings of the symposium in a special issue of the journal.

There are three compelling reasons for organizing the symposium and publishing this volume. First, it is important for scientists, engineers and other specialists from the Soviet Union and the United States to meet and to establish working relations, as an antidote to the hostile fantasies which have been engendered by the militarization of the relationship between our two countries. We would like to think of our activity as an example of the kind of collaboration which can occur in many areas of mutual interest.

Second, the energy problem is global, in several senses: (1) The world oil market responds to levels of production and use in every country, and the markets in other energy supplies respond to the world oil price. (2) The most important long-term environmental impact of energy use is likely to be the build-up of carbon dioxide in the global atmosphere, which will change regional climates everywhere; the global atmosphere cannot tell the difference between Soviet and American carbon dioxide molecules. (3) The nuclear weapons connection to nuclear power is strongest through the link of recycled plutonium in civilian commerce; as Feiveson explains in his essay in this volume, the length of time one can delay the recycling of plutonium is sensitive to the rate of use of energy on the globe, and further global energy conservation can increase the period of risk-free delay (a period which already exceeds 30 yr, thanks in part to the global energy conservation accomplished in the past decade). For these reasons and for many others, the interests of the United States are served when the Soviet Union uses energy more efficiently, and vice versa.

Third, the Soviet Union and the United States have complementary expertise about the energy problem. The experience in the West with energy conservation and energy demand since the 1973 oil shock has given Western energy specialists a surprisingly different perspective than they had in 1973. Many of these insights could be very useful to energy specialists in the U.S.S.R., because users of energy in the Soviet Union did not experience major price increases in the 1970s. In addition, some potentially important energy-conservation technologies have recently been developed in the U.S. and Western Europe as a result of research, development, and invention following the 1973 oil shock.

Conversely, the Soviet Union has an extraordinarily large and strong system of national laboratories focused on what can be called civilian technology, both under its Academy of Sciences and under various industrial ministries. There has been relatively little contact

vi Preface

between researchers in the U.S. and U.S.S.R. since the late 1970s, so there is much for energy specialists from the U.S. to learn about energy end-use systems, energy supply systems, and energy policy concepts recently developed and under development in the U.S.S.R. To give just one example of technology transfer from the Soviet Union to the United States, the novel Soviet work on direct contact heat exchangers discussed in the paper in this volume by Akhmedov et al. has become the subject of research at Princeton University.

We are happy to report that this effort of mutual exchange is continuing. The participation of Americans in this activity has significantly broadened, thanks to the spring 1986 decision of the (U.S.) National Academy of Sciences to take responsibility for the American side of this exchange and to be the host for a follow-on symposium. That symposium has now been held, August 23–27, 1986, at the Wingspread Conference Center in Wisconsin; both delegations contained veterans of the Moscow symposium as well as newcomers. The momentum generated by the combined effect of these two symposia is probably sufficient for this exchange to increase in scope in the immediate future.

The present volume consists of 28 articles, 14 with Soviet authors, 12 with U.S. authors, and 2 with Swedish authors or co-authors. Ten of the papers involve broad issues of energy use and energy policy, including 2 papers each on environmental and nuclear energy issues. The remaining papers reflect the vision shared by all participants: that research directed toward increased efficiency in specific uses of energy is an extraordinarily powerful approach to solving the problems associated with increasing costs of producing energy. Thus, each paper focuses on some aspects of one of the principal sectors of energy use: buildings, transportation, and industry. Energy conservation in industry receives special emphasis because industrial energy use is relatively large in both countries.

One thing we learned from this effort is of general interest. There is more important and relevant work going on in each country than was at first recognized in the other. This lack of appreciation arises from the difficulties of communication between Soviet and Western energy-conservation specialists. At issue are the technologies of energy use in all areas of the economy, involving formidable special vocabularies. There are also major differences in the structure of technical descriptions. Moreover, in the past decade there has been very little scholarly interchange between the two countries, oral or written. As a consequence, although the Soviets read deeply in the English-language professional literature in their field, and are familiar with the English equivalent of many terms, the oral and written translations of Russian articles contained vocabulary and conventions which were quite confusing to us.

One of the central confusions, of course, concerns energy units. It takes practice to absorb energy data in units of 'tonnes of coal equivalent,' or tce (1 tce is exactly  $7 \times 10^9$  calories, or about  $29.3 \times 10^9$  joules). In the Soviet articles here, SI and Soviet units are presented, side by side, The reader who wishes the Soviets would abandon the tce can be assured that they would be happy to see Americans abandon the Btu.

To give just one example of a linguistic landmine, the Russian word automobil means land vehicle, including both cars and trucks; in the presentations of Soviet work on energy conservation in transportation (both the talks in Moscow and the first English translations of the papers) this distinction was blurred, and the very interesting Soviet work on saving energy in trucking was largely missed by the American delegation.

It goes almost without saying that none of the American or Swedish participants in the Moscow Symposium possessed a working knowledge of technical Russian. [Thanks to this collaboration, however, one of us (RHS) has resurrected his college Russian and has found it indispensable in the editing process.] Working these past months with the 14 Soviet papers, we have been impressed generally with how much we failed to understand initially and how consistently we tended to underestimate what was being done.

We believe we have overcome much of this communications barrier, for readers of English, with the Soviet articles in their present form, but we are sure that there are still significant problems in conveying the information developed by our Soviet colleagues.

Many people have helped bring the Moscow Symposium proceedings into this form,

Preface vii

We would like first to acknowledge the immense help of Thomas Norton, a graduate student in Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering at Princeton University, who brings to this task a superb sense of clarity of presentation, a wonderful orderliness, and competence in Russian. In clarifying the content of the Soviet manuscripts we have received crucial help from Dr Sven Eketorp, Dr Thomas Mix, Professor Frank von Hippel, and Dr Robert Williams, all of whom participated in the Moscow Symposium. Williams is particularly responsible for identifying the novelty and importance of the Soviet work on advanced direct contact heat exchangers, partially buried in the original version of Akhmedov et al., and now made more prominent. Later stages of editing were facilitated by careful readings by Professor Nicholas Grant of MIT and by Stefano Consonni, a graduate student at Princeton. For her keen sense of exposition and for her indefatigable attention to detail, we are deeply grateful to Ms Krysia Kolodziej, a freelance editor. Mr John Samu was also of considerable help in preparing four translations.

The Moscow Symposium marked the culmination of a small two-year effort to convey the excitement and importance of energy-conservation research to leading Soviet scientists. Particularly effective in this effort were Thomas Johansson (Lund, Sweden) and Frank von

Hippel and Robert H. Williams (Princeton).

Participation in the Moscow 1985 Symposium was facilitated by a grant to Princeton University from the Garfield Foundation. Support for the publication of this special issue of Energy—The International Journal is being provided by grants to Princeton University from the Garfield Foundation, the Alida and Mark Dayton Charitable Trust, and an anonymous member of the Rockefeller family. The August 1986 discussions in the United States, so important in sustaining the effort reported here, were supported by grants to the (U.S.) National Academy of Sciences from the Trust for Mutual Understanding, the MacArthur Foundation, and the Johnson Foundation.

ROBERT H. SOCOLOW
Director, Center for Energy and Environmental Studies,
Princeton University

MARC ROSS Professor of Physics, University of Michigan

September 1986